SRI LANKA

Status of Social Expenditure Tracking

Institute for Health Policy

Dr. Ravi P. Rannan-Eliya Director Institute for Health Policy Sri Lanka Meeting on Social Indicators & Social Accounting OECD Korea RCHSP Seoul, Korea 8-9 May, 2006

Outline

- Country Background
- National Statistics
- Social Expenditures Tracking
- ILO Social Security Inquiry 2005 Coverage
 - OProcess Problems
 - **O**Coverage
 - **OSri Lanka Recommendations**
- National Reflections

Country Background

Lower-middle income developing economy
O GDP per capita \$1,000, 19 million pop.

O Ageing population

Relatively developed social service provision
O Universal health and education access since 1940s

- O Programs for income transfers to poor
- O Limited unemployment insurance/benefits
- ○~45% of workforce covered by pensions/provident funds
- Most social expenditures funded from general revenues No social insurance

Social policy traditionally of high political importance

National Social Statistics

National statistical office

O Department of Census and Statistics

- National population statistics
- Income and product accounts
- Household consumption surveys, economic surveys
- Other agencies

O Central Bank of Sri Lanka

- Income and product accounts
- Social trends, primarily using its own household socioeconomic survey
- O Other ministries
- O Other agencies
 - MoH/Institute for Health Policy health expenditures

Social Expenditures Tracking

Limited systematic tracking

O No systematic tracking of pensions coverage, social transfers

Health expenditures

 Comprehensive estimates of health expenditures compiled annually using OECD SHA framework (IHP) - reported to WHO

ILO Social Security Inquiry

O Pilot survey 2005

 Consensus for establishing national focal point for reporting in future - no action yet

ILO Social Security Inquiry 2005 Process problems

- ILO questionnaire too detailed, too many items, and unfeasible
- Many items, particularly income/age/sex profiles require survey data analysis - difficult for ministries to undertake
 needed research agency
- Many items requiring survey data estimation cannot be updated annually
- Duplication with other reporting activities, e.g. health
- Definitions and classifications often inconsistent with other nationally reported statistics
- May be difficult to ensure adequate cooperation from all government agencies without the process being made official at national level

Old age cash benefits

O Pensions: Civil servants, farmers, fishermen, self-employed

- Deficiencies in administrative data prevented adequate reporting of beneficiary details for pensions
- Recognized as an area requiring improvement
- Statistics for coverage required estimations, but useful exercise
- No national body responsible for pensions

O Provident funds: EPF, ETF, private approved funds

- Government funds data available on routine operations, but analysis of impact on households income/beneficiaries not possible
- Inadequate collection from private provident funds

Disability benefits

O Lack of detailed data on disability burden

- Questions in 2001 census, but no national surveys using standardized definitions
- Benefits mostly limited to pension schemes these can be tracked

O Some limited public benefits

Occupational injuries

- No system for tracking, except for certain covered occupations
- ONo standard benefits

Unemployment benefits

ONo unemployment benefits

 Introduction being considered for public sector workers, but not genuine unemployment insurance

Elderly services

Limited range of service provision, but increasing
Limited provision of residential homes, etc. No routine data collected, especially private sector

Health expenditures

O Well covered and available

- Health expenditures tracked using OECD System of Health Accounts (2000) framework, with annual updates
 - 1990 2004
- ILO questionnaire does not fully take into account SHA features
- O Sri Lanka to participate in Joint WHO-OECD-APNHAN regional data collection

ILO Social Security Inquiry 2005 Sri Lanka Recommendations

Narrow focus of inquiry - at least initially

 Drop areas not of central concern to ILO Housing, education

 Focus on pensions, social insurance systems, disability/unemployment benefits, etc

 Collect via identified national contact point, although may be delegated to technical agency

○ E.g., MoL <-> IHP

- Exploit and link with existing data collection efforts, esp. health accounts data for Asia-Pacific region (<-> OECD Korea RCHSP)
- Coordinate with OECD, ADB, World Bank, WHO and others

National Reflections

- Interest in access to comparable social expenditures data, especially pensions, health, etc.
 - Support participation in regional collaborations to improve data reporting
 - ILO questionnaire too detailed and burdensome for routine use - but basis with modification
- Recognition of need to improve tracking of social expenditures and coverage
 O Priority area: pensions
- Routinization will require making process more official, and identifying national ministry as contact point, and technical agency to undertake work